

**The Chinese University of Hong Kong**  
**Department of Linguistics and Modern Languages**  
**First Term of 2023-24**

**Course code and title**

LING2008B Linguistic Argumentation II

**Instructor and Teaching Assistant**

Instructor: Dr. CHEN Zhuo

Teaching Assistant: HU Chenghao

**Lecture time and venue**

Tuesdays, 10:30am-12:15pm; Room 218, Li Dak Sum Building (LDS)

**Tutorial time and venue**

Tuesdays, 12:30pm-1:15pm; Room 218, Li Dak Sum Building (LDS)

**Course overview**

This course enhances students' sensitivity to the structure of linguistic argumentation. It will engage students in critical reflections on the character of linguistic units and categories at various levels of grammar, as well as systematic descriptions and analyses of language phenomena using linguistics concepts. Through critical discussion of selected cases, students will explore the ways in which one may arrive at generalizations based on linguistic evidence, how different analyses may apply to a given linguistic phenomenon, and the grounds on which one analysis may be judged to be superior to another.

**Learning outcomes**

Students are expected to achieve the following learning outcomes:

- (a) A clear understanding of the basic units and categories of language and an ability to define these units and categories cross-linguistically;
- (b) An ability to describe the structure of argumentation of a linguistics article, and respond to the ideas contained in it;
- (c) An interest to observe the languages encountered in daily life, and some skills in tapping into one's own native language competence;
- (d) A basic command of the use of reference grammars for descriptive facts about a language;
- (e) An enhanced ability to write clear, well-organized and coherent expository papers on language, making use of techniques such as formulating thesis statements, paragraphing and self-editing;
- (f) An enhanced knowledge of some of the typical errors of advanced Chinese learners of English.

## List of topics

Topic	Contents/fundamental concepts
<b>Module 6: Topics in Linguistics III</b>	
▪ Arguing about linguistic units	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Morphemes, words, and phrases</li><li>▪ “free” vs. “bound”</li><li>▪ Cross-linguistic differences in the conception of wordhood</li></ul>
<b>Module 7: Topics in Linguistics IV</b>	
▪ Arguing about linguistic categories	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Word classes (parts of speech): nouns, verbs, adjectives, prepositions, and auxiliaries</li><li>▪ Justification for the existence of categories and category membership</li><li>▪ Cross-linguistic differences in the defining of linguistic categories</li></ul>
<b>Module 8: Argumentation</b>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Types of empirical data used as evidence in linguistic argumentation</li><li>▪ Arguments based on significant generalizations about language</li><li>▪ Essentials of academic writing: title, abstract, thesis statement, introduction, elaboration, citation, quotation, reporting results, conclusion</li></ul>
<b>Module 9: Grammar and style</b>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Paragraph structure, linking devices, sentence patterns, topic and focus, linguistic dimensions of style, intertextuality</li><li>▪ Typical errors of advanced Chinese learners of English</li></ul>

## Learning activities

Lecture		Interactive tutorial		Assigned readings		Writing assignments		In-group discussion		One-on-one consultation		Project / Essay	
(hr)		(hr)		(hr)		(hr)		(hr)		(hr)		(hr)	
in	out class	in	out class	in	out class	in	out class	in	out class	in	out class	in	out class
26	0	13	0	0	65	0	65	0	13	0	6	0	0
M	NA	M	NA	NA	M/O	NA	M/O	NA	M/O	NA	O	NA	NA

M: Mandatory activity in the course; O: Optional activity; NA: Not applicable

## Assessment scheme

Task nature	Weight
Two sets of writing assignments (30% for each set of assignment: outline 5% + paper 25%)	60%
Presentation of reading summaries	25%
In-class discussion of readings and writing assignments	10%
Post-presentation mini-quizzes	5%

## Grade descriptors for assessment

Grade	Overall course
A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Recognize almost all the linguistic concepts and their supporting arguments covered in the course</li> <li>▪ Recognize and identify a good range of common errors made by Chinese learners of English</li> <li>▪ Excellent in applying linguistic knowledge and knowledge about academic discourse in writing</li> <li>▪ Excellent in evaluating linguistic papers with a lot of critical insights</li> </ul>
A-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Recognize most of the linguistic concepts and their supporting arguments covered in the course</li> <li>▪ Recognize and identify a good range of common errors made by Chinese learners of English</li> <li>▪ Good in applying linguistic knowledge and knowledge about academic discourse in writing</li> <li>▪ Good in evaluating linguistic papers with some critical insights</li> </ul>

<b>Grade</b>	<b>Overall course</b>
B	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Recognize some linguistic concepts and their supporting arguments covered in the course</li> <li>▪ Recognize and identify some common errors made by Chinese learners of English</li> <li>▪ Satisfactory in applying linguistic knowledge and knowledge about academic discourse in writing</li> <li>▪ Satisfactory in evaluating linguistic papers</li> </ul>
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Recognize relatively few linguistic concepts and their supporting arguments covered in the course</li> <li>▪ Recognize and identify relatively few common errors made by Chinese learners of English</li> <li>▪ Weak in applying linguistic knowledge and knowledge about academic discourse in writing</li> <li>▪ Weak in evaluating linguistic papers</li> </ul>
D	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Recognize very few linguistic concepts and their supporting arguments covered in the course</li> <li>▪ Recognize and identify very few common errors made by Chinese learners of English</li> <li>▪ Very weak in applying linguistic knowledge and knowledge about academic discourse in writing</li> <li>▪ Very weak in evaluating linguistic papers</li> </ul>
F	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Recognize almost no linguistic concepts and their supporting arguments covered in the course</li> <li>▪ Recognize and identify almost no few common errors made by Chinese learners of English</li> <li>▪ Extremely weak in applying linguistic knowledge and knowledge about academic discourse in writing</li> <li>▪ Extremely weak in evaluating linguistic papers</li> </ul>

## **Recommended learning resources**

### **A. Linguistic argumentation**

Aarts, Bas. 2001. *English Syntax and Argumentation*. 2nd ed. New York: Palgrave.

Haegeman, Liliane M. V. 2006. *Thinking Syntactically: A Guide to Argumentation and Analysis*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Larson, Richard K. and Kimiko Ryokai. 2010. *Grammar as Science*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

### **B. Basic concepts in traditional linguistics**

Bauer, Laurie. 2003. *Introducing Linguistic Morphology*. Second edition. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Bloomfield, Leonard. 1933. *Language*. New York: Holt.

- Di Sciullo, Anne Marie and Edwin Williams. 1987. *On the Definition of Word*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Hockett, Charles. 1958. *A Course in Modern Linguistics*. New York: Macmillan.
- Jespersen, Otto. 1924. *The Philosophy of Grammar*. London: George Allen & Unwin Ltd.
- Krámský, Jiří. 1969. *The Word as a Linguistic Unit*. The Hague: Mouton.
- Langacker, Ronald W. 1972. *Fundamentals of Linguistic Analysis*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Palmer, Frank. 1984. *Grammar*. 2nd ed. Harmondsworth: Penguin.

### **C. English grammar**

- Biber D., Leech, G. and S. Conrad. 2002. *Longman Student Grammar of Spoken and Written English*. Longman.
- Carter R. and M. McCarthy. 2006. *Cambridge Grammar of English*. Cambridge University Press.
- Huddleston, Rodney, Geoffrey K. Pullum, and in collaboration with Laurie Bauer. 2002. *The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- McCawley, James D. 1988. *The Syntactic Phenomena of English*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Quirk, Randolph, Sidney Greenbaum, Geoffrey Leech, and Janand Svartvik. 1985. *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language*. London: Longman.

### **D. Chinese grammar**

- Chao, Yuen Ren. 1968. *A Grammar of Spoken Chinese*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Huang, C.-T. James, Y.-H. Audrey Li and Yafei Li. 2009. *The Syntax of Chinese*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Li, Charles and Sandra Thompson. 1981. *Mandarin Chinese: A Functional Reference Grammar*. Los Angeles, CA: University of California Press.

### **E. Academic writing style**

- Ohashi, Yoshimasa. 1978. *English Style: Grammatical and Semantic Approach*. Rowley, MA: Newberry House.
- Strunk, W. 2000. *The Elements of Style*. Fourth edition. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Wallwork, A. 2013. *English for Research: Usage, Style, and Grammar*. London: Springer.

### **Feedback for evaluation**

A mid-term course evaluation and an end-of-term course evaluation will be conducted. Students are welcome to give feedback to the course teacher at any time in person or through emails.

## Course schedule

Week	Date	Topic	Readings
1	Sept 5 (Tue)	<b>Course overview</b> <b>[Module 6]</b> Topics in Linguistics III: Arguing about linguistic units #1: An overview	Bloomfield, Leonard. 1933. Ch. 11 “Sentence-types” (Sections 11.5-11.7). In <i>Language</i> , 177-183. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.  Haspelmath, Martin. 2011. The indeterminacy of word segmentation and the nature of morphology and syntax. <i>Folia Linguistica</i> 45(1): 31-80.
2	Sept 12 (Tue)	<b>[Module 6]</b> Topics in Linguistics III: Arguing about linguistic units #2: Morphosyntactic and semantic criteria  <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Presentation #1-1</span>	*Langacker, Ronald W. 1972. Ch. 2.1 “The isolation of words”. In <i>Fundamentals of Linguistic Analysis</i> , 36-50. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.  Hockett, Charles. 1958. Ch. 19 “Words”. In <i>A Course in Modern Linguistics</i> , 166-176. New York: Macmillan.
3	Sept 19 (Tue)	<b>[Module 6]</b> Topics in Linguistics III: Arguing about linguistic units #3: Morphosyntactic and semantic criteria  <b>[Module 8]</b> Argumentation  <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Presentation #1-2</span>	*Bauer, Laurie. 2003. Ch. 4 “Defining the word form”. In <i>Introducing Linguistic Morphology</i> , 57-69. Second edition. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.  Lyons, John. 1968. Ch. 5.4 “The word”. In <i>An Introduction to Theoretical Linguistics</i> , 194-206. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
4	Sept 26 (Tue)	<b>[Module 6]</b> Topics in Linguistics III: Arguing about linguistic units #4: Wordhood in Chinese  <b>[Module 9]</b> Grammar and style  <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Presentation #1-3</span>	*Duanmu, San. 1998. “Wordhood in Chinese”. In <i>New Approaches to Chinese Word Formation: Morphology, Phonology and the Lexicon in Modern and Ancient Chinese</i> , edited by Jerome L. Packard, 135-159 (Sections 1-2). Berlin, New York: De Gruyter Mouton.  *Myers, James. 2000. Wordhood and disyllabicity in Chinese. Unpublished manuscript. Chiayi: National Chung Cheng University.  <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Outline #1 due on Sept 30, Sat, 23:59</span>

5	Oct 3 (Tue)	<b>[Module 6]</b> Topics in Linguistics III: Arguing about linguistic units #5: Wordhood in Chinese  <b>[Module 8]</b> Argumentation  <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Presentation #1-4</div>	*Chao, Yuen Ren. 1968. Ch. 3 “Word and morpheme”. In <i>A Grammar of Spoken Chinese</i> , 159-169 & 178-192 (Sections 3.1-3.2 & 3.4-3.6). Berkeley: University of California Press.
6	Oct 10 (Tue)	<b>[Module 6]</b> Topics in Linguistics III: Arguing about linguistic units #6: Wordhood in Chinese  <b>[Module 9]</b> Grammar and style  <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Presentation #1-5</div>	*Lǚ, Shūxiāng. 1962. Shuō zìyóu hé niánzhuó [Talking about “free” and “bound” forms]. <i>Zhōngguó Yǔwén</i> 1962(1): 1-6.  Lǚ, Shūxiāng. 1979. Ch. 2 “Dānwèi” [Units]. In <i>Hànyǔ Yǔfǎ Fēnxī Wèntí</i> [The problem of analysis in Chinese grammar], 471-482 (Sections 8-30). Beijing: Commercial Press.
7	Oct 17 (Tue)	<b>[Module 6]</b> Topics in Linguistics III: Arguing about linguistic units #7: Wordhood in Chinese  <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Presentation #1-6</div>	*Packard, Jerome L. 2000. Ch. 2 “Defining the word in Chinese”. In <i>The Morphology of Chinese: A Linguistic and Cognitive Approach</i> , 7-20. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
8	Oct 24 (Tue)	<b>[Module 7]</b> Topics in Linguistics IV: Arguing about linguistic categories #1: An overview  <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Presentation #2-1</div>	*Aarts, Bas. 2001. Ch. 3 “Form: Words, word classes, and phrases”. In <i>English Syntax and Argumentation</i> , 25-32. Second edition. New York: Palgrave.  Lyons, John. 1968. Ch. 7.6 “The parts of speech”. In <i>An Introduction to Theoretical Linguistics</i> , 317-333. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
			<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Paper #1 due on Oct 28, Sat, 23:59</div>
9	Oct 31 (Tue)	<b>[Module 7]</b> Topics in Linguistics IV: Arguing about linguistic categories #2: Word classes in Chinese  <b>[Module 8]</b> Argumentation  <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">Presentation #2-2</div>	*Tai, James H.-Y. 1982. Relevant categorical distinctions in Chinese. In <i>Papers from the Eighteenth Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society</i> , 495-506.  Gāo Míngkǎi. 1955. Guānyú hànyǔ de cílèi fēnbíe [On word class distinctions in Chinese]. In <i>Hànyǔ de Cílèi Wèntí</i> [The problem of word class in Chinese], 43-52. Beijing: Zhonghua Book Company.

10	Nov 7 (Tue)	<p><b>[Module 7]</b> Topics in Linguistics IV: Arguing about linguistic categories #3: Word classes in Chinese</p> <p><b>[Module 9]</b> Argumentation</p> <p><u>Presentation #2-3</u></p>	<p>*Tai James, H.-Y. 1997. “Category shifts and word-formation redundancy rules in Chinese”. In <i>Chinese Languages and Linguistics III: Morphology and Lexicon</i>, edited by Feng-fu Tsao and Samuel Wang, 435-468. Taipei: Academia Sinica.</p> <p>Kwong, Oi Yee, and Benjamin K. Tsou. 2004. A synchronous corpus-based study of verb-noun fluidity in Chinese”. <i>Journal of Chinese Language and Computing</i> 13(3): 227-238.</p>
11	Nov 14 (Tue)	<p><b>[Module 7]</b> Topics in Linguistics IV: Arguing about linguistic categories #4: Word classes in Chinese</p> <p><b>[Module 8]</b> Argumentation</p> <p><u>Presentation #2-4</u></p>	<p>*Cheung, Candice Chi-Hang. 2016. Ch. 15 “Chinese: Parts of speech.” In <i>The Routledge Encyclopedia of the Chinese Language</i>, edited by Sin-wai Chan, 242-294. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.</p> <p>Lee, Thomas Hun-tak. 2019. The use of child language in linguistic argumentation: Some methodological considerations. <i>Buckeye East Asian Linguistics</i> 4: 1-15. Columbus, Ohio: The Ohio State University</p> <p><u>Outline #2 due on Nov 18, Sat, 23:59</u></p>
12	Nov 21 (Tue)	<p><b>[Module 7]</b> Topics in Linguistics IV: Arguing about linguistic categories #5: Word classes in Chinese</p> <p><b>[Module 9]</b> Grammar and style</p> <p><u>Presentation #2-5</u></p>	<p>*McCawley, James. 1992. Justifying part-of-speech assignments in Mandarin Chinese. <i>Journal of Chinese Linguistics</i> 20(2): 211-245.</p> <p>Li, Charles and Sandra A. Thompson. 1981. Ch. 5 “Auxiliary verbs” and Ch. 9 “Coverbs/Prepositions”. In <i>Mandarin Chinese: A Functional Reference Grammar</i>, 172-183 &amp; 356-369. Berkeley; Los Angeles: University California Press.</p> <p>Ross, Claudia. 1991. Coverbs and category distinctions in Mandarin Chinese. <i>Journal of Chinese Linguistics</i> 19(1): 79-115.</p>



---

13 Nov 28 [Module 7] Topics in  
(Tue) Linguistics IV: Arguing  
about linguistic categories  
#6: Word classes in  
Chinese

Presentation #2-6

\*Paul, Waltraud. 2010. "Adjectival modification in Chinese: The rehabilitation of a much ostracized category." In *Adjectives: Formal Analyses in Syntax and Semantics*, edited by Patricia Cabredo Hofherr and Ora Matushansky, 115-151. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing.

Li, Charles and Sandra A. Thompson. 1981. Ch. 4.3.1 "A.1. - Adjectival verbs". In *Mandarin Chinese: A Functional Reference Grammar*, 141-147. Berkeley; Los Angeles: University California Press.

Huang, C.-T. James, Y.-H. Audrey Li, and Yafei Li. 2009. Ch. 1 (Section 1.1.3) "Adjectives". In *The Syntax of Chinese*, 21-26. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

---

14 Dec 9  
(Sat)

Paper #2 due on Dec 9, Sat, 23:59

---

## Contact details for instructor and TA

---

### Instructor

---

Name:	Dr. CHEN Zhuo
Office location:	G27, Leung Kau Kui Building, The Chinese University of Hong Kong
Telephone:	3943-0523
Email:	zhuochen@cuhk.edu.hk
Office hours:	By appointment

---

### Teaching Assistant

---

Name:	HU Chenghao
Office location:	G19, Leung Kau Kui Building, The Chinese University of Hong Kong
Telephone:	N/A
Email:	1155207471@link.cuhk.edu.hk
Office hours:	By appointment

---

### Details of course website

LING2008B on Blackboard

<https://blackboard.cuhk.edu.hk/>

### Academic honesty and plagiarism

Attention is drawn to University policy and regulations on honesty in academic work, and to the disciplinary guidelines and procedures applicable to breaches of such policy and regulations. Details may be found at <http://www.cuhk.edu.hk/policy/academichonesty/>.

With each assignment, students will be required to submit a signed declaration that they are aware of these policies, regulations, guidelines and procedures. For group projects, all students of the same group should be asked to sign on the declaration. For assignments in the form of a computer-generated document that is principally text-based and submitted via VeriGuide, the statement, in the form of a receipt, will be issued by the system upon students' uploading of the soft copy of the assignment. Assignments without the receipt will not be graded by teachers. Only the final version of the assignment should be submitted via VeriGuide.

The submission of a piece of work, or a part of a piece of work, for more than one purpose (e.g. to satisfy the requirements in two different courses) without declaration to this effect shall be regarded as having committed undeclared multiple submissions. It is common and acceptable to reuse a turn of phrase or a sentence or two from one's own work; but wholesale reuse is problematic. In any case, agreement from the course teacher(s) concerned should be obtained prior to the submission of the piece of work.