The Chinese University of Hong Kong Department of Linguistics and Modern Languages First Term, 2023-24

Course Code: BMBL3001 Title in English: Sign Language in Education Title in Chinese: 手語與教育

Course Description:

This course explores the impact of sign linguistics research on the shaping of new directions in education, in particular, the issue of language in education and the benefits of sign language in educating students with diverse abilities. Factors to be covered include society's perception about minority languages in bilingual education, attitudes towards and use of oral, written as well as the various modes of signing in different educational settings, code choice, code switching and code blending in bimodal bilingual communication, as well as effects of sign language on children's literacy, cognitive and sociopsychological development.

Learning outcomes

Students completing this course should be able to:

- 1. Understand the history of sign language in educating deaf students, especially the rise of sign bilingualism in education because of the growing evidence from sign linguistics research.
- 2. Demonstrate critical thinking towards the controversy between oralism and manualism in deaf education.
- 3. Critically evaluate the different modes of education, especially the issues surrounding the deployment of two languages (eg. Makaton, Total Communication, Bimodal Bilingual Education) in classroom teaching.
- 4. Understand the latest developments of educating typical and atypical children using a sign bilingual approach.
- 5. Understand how sign language and gesture can be incorporated in classroom teaching for different subject content like English, mathematics, general science, etc.

Торіс	Contents/fundamental concepts
Sign Language	A visual-spatial language adopted by members of the Deaf Community in daily interactions. It consists of a grammatical system which reflects universal principles of linguistic organization but which shows differences when compared with the grammar of the society's spoken language.
Sign bilingual education	An approach, which supports the use of natural sign language in educating deaf students as first language, and the written mode of spoken language as second language. The approach is now extended to other populations such as hearing infants, children with special educational needs, etc.
Diverse abilities	Usually referring to individuals in society or educational settings that demonstrate different hearing, intellectual, and sociopsychological abilities.
Code choice	It refers to the choice of a language code by individuals in communication; it is a reflection of the instinctive abilities of bilinguals/multilinguals due to their multiple competences.

Course syllabus

Course components (Learning activities)

Lecture/Tutorial		Experiential activity/ Guest sharing		Interactive tutorial		Project discussion		Project presentation	
In class	Out class	In class	Out class	In class	Out class	In class	Out class	In class	Out class
2-3 hours	3 hours	1 hour	1 hour	1 hour	1 hour	NA	2 hour	1 hour	2 hours
M M/O M		М	М	М	NA	M/O	М	М	
M:Mandatory activity in the course			_	O:Optional	activity		NA:Not	applicable	

Assessment type

Task Nature	Purpose	Learning outcomes	Percentage
Class participation	To encourage active participation in class activities, including lectures, experiential activities, guest sharing and project presentations	 Attend all lessons and arrive at the lectures on time* Participate actively in class: raising questions and express their opinions and comments with good integration of the knowledge they learn in class 	10%
		*Students are required to be punctual. A 15-minute allowance will be given to them. Marks will be deducted for frequent late arrivals and absence. Medical certificates are required for absence due to illness.	
Reading-based assignment	To facilitate students' self- learning and development of basic research skills	 Present their comprehension of an assigned reading with a precise and concise summary of the contents (10%). Select a specific topic based on the assigned reading, design and conduct a brief survey or interviews with a related target group and report on the findings (10%). Respond appropriately to classmates' questions after their presentations (5%). 	25%
Writing a 1000-word report on students' critical reflections in the experiential learning activities and guest sharing arranged in class	To encourage critical reflection on the experiential activities and guest sharing most impressed to individual students. Students are required to select one activity or sharing, and write a 1000- word critical reflection on its impact to participants.	 Summarize their observations (5%) Briefly summarize the experiential learning activity or guest sharing, and the students' major observations. Have critical reflections and insights on the selected activity (6%) Discuss what they have learned from the activity or guesting sharing, which incident impacted them most and how the experience changes their attitude towards deafness, deaf culture, deaf education or sign language in education. Organize the paper and express their ideas in a logical and systematic way (4%) 	15%
Attending a 2-hour mid-term examination	To evaluate students' understanding and comprehension of major course contents delivered through course lectures, micro-modules and assigned readings for the first 7 lectures.	 Understand the major concepts of the first 7 lectures Comprehend the required readings and understand the major concepts brought out by the authors 	20%
Developing a term project that adopts a problem-based learning approach to investigate an identified issue relating to the concepts of sign language in	To evaluate how well the students can understand the concepts taught in the course and develop a project to investigate how empirical findings from sign language and deaf education research potentially resolves or	 Project presentation (10%) 1. Organize the content of the presentations systematically with critical reflections from their literature review and projects (5%) 2. Present their ideas clearly with effective language use and skills to respond questions from classmates (5%) Written report* (20%) 	30%
education	address their identified problems or issues.	 Explain the background of the project clearly and discuss the major concepts based on comprehensive literature review (5%): 	

	 According to literature review, students have to define their major questions, describe the background and rationale of their study, and define the key problem statements. 2. Analyze their findings and discuss thoroughly the results and observations (5%): Students are required to describe their methodology and data analysis, and report their findings and observations. 3. Provide authentic and original recommendations according to the analysis based on Hong Kong context (5%): 4. Organize their ideas systematically and express their ideas clearly (5%) *Word limit: 3000 words (1-inch margins, font 12, Times New Roman, double-spaced).
--	--

Required and recommended readings

Required readings:

Archbold, S., & Mayer, C. (2012). Deaf education: the impact of cochlear implantation? *Deafness and Education International*. 14(1), 2-15. https://doi.org/10.1179/1557069X12Y.000000003

Capirci, O., Cattani, A., Rossini, P., & Volterra, V. (1998). Teaching sign language to hearing children as a possible factor in cognitive enhancement. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, *3*(2), 135-142.

Dunst, C., Meter, D., & Hamby, D.W. (2011). Influences of sign and oral language interventions on the speech and oral language production of young children with disabilities. *CELL reviews*, 4(4), 1-20.

Hall, M. L., Eigsti, I-M., Bortfeld, H., & Lillo-Martin, D. (2017). Auditory deprivation does not impair executive function, but language deprivation might: Evidence from a parent-report measure in deaf native signing children. *Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education*, 22, 9–21.

Humphries, T., Kushalnagar, P., Mathur, G., Napoli, D.J., Rathmann, C., & Smith, S.R. (2012). Language acquisition for deaf children: reducing the harms of zero tolerance to the use of alternative approaches. *Harm Reduction Journal*, *9*(16). https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7517-9-16

Mayor, C. (2015) Rethinking Total Communication: Looking back, moving forward. In Marschark, M. & Spencer, P. (Eds.). *The Oxford Handbook of Deaf Studies in Language* (pp. 32-44). Oxford University Press.

Mueller, V.; Sepulveda, A.; Rodriguez, S. (2014). "The effects of baby sign training on development". *Early Child Development and Care*, *184* (8), 1178-1191.

Plaza-Putz, C. (2012). Deaf education and bilingualism. In Pfau, R., Steinbach, M., & Woll, B. (Eds.) *Sign language: An International Handbook* (pp. 949-979). *De Gruyter*.

Tang, G.W.L., Yiu, C.K.M., Lee, K.Y.S., Li, J., Li, Q., Ho, C.C.M., & Lam. D.C.F. (2022). Two Languages are Better than One: Establishing Inclusive Education for the Deaf and Hard-of-Hearing Children in Hong Kong Using a Sign Bilingualism and Co-enrollment Approach. *BrainChild*, *21(1)*, 9-30.

Van Gent, T. (2016). Mental Health Problems of Deaf Children and Adolescents. In M. Marschark, V. Lampropoulou, & E.K. Skordilis (Eds.) *Diversity in Deaf Education*, (pp. 381-416). Oxford University Press.

Recommended Readings:

A. Journals

- 1. Journal of Sign Language Studies (http://gupress.gallaudet.edu/SLS.html)
- 2. Journal of Sign Language and Linguistics (<u>https://benjamins.com/#catalog/journals/sll/main</u>)
- 3. Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education (<u>http://jdsde.oxfordjournals.org</u>)
- 4. Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing Research (<u>http://jslhr.pubs.asha.org</u>)
- 5. Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism (<u>http://www.tandfonline.com/toc/rbeb20/current#.Usn5tqVmsWY</u>)

B. Books

- Marschark, M., Knoors, H., & Antia, S. (Eds). (2019). Co-enrollment Education for Deaf and Hard-of-hearing Learners. Oxford University Press.
- Marschark, M., Tang, G., & Knoors H. (Eds). (2014). Bilingualism and Bilingual Education. Oxford University Press.

Pickersgill, M., & Gregory, S. (1998) Sign Bilingualism: A Model. LASER.

Plaza-Putz, C., & Morals-López, E. (Eds). (2008). Sign Bilingualism. John Benjamins.

Swanwick, R. (2016). Languages and Languaging in Deaf Education: A Framework for Pedagogy. Oxford University Press.

C. Papers

Adam, B. (2012). Language contact and borrowing. In Pfau, R., Steinbach, M., & Woll, B. (Eds.), *Sign language: An International Handbook* (pp. 841-861), Mouton de Gruyter.

Baker, C. (2001). Foundations of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism, Multilingual Matters.

Bauman, H-D. L. (Ed). (2008). Open Yyour Eyes, Deaf Studies Talking, University of Minnesota Press.

- Benitez-Burraco, A. (2015). A biolinguistic approach to sign language. In Marschark, M. & Spencer, P. (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Deaf Studies in Language* (pp. 256-272). Oxford University Press.
- Bonvillian, J. D., Nelson, K. E., & Milnes Rhyne, J. (1981). Sign language and autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 11(1), 125-137.
- Campbell, R, MacSweeney, M., & Waters, D. (2007). Sign language and the brain: A review. *Journal of Deaf Studies* and Deaf Education, 13(1), 3-20.
- Corina, D. (2015). Neurolingusitic studies on sign language bilingualism. In Marschark, M. & Spencer, P. (Eds.). *The* Oxford Handbook of Deaf Studies in Language (pp. 276 -287). Oxford University Press.
- Goldin-Meadow, S. (2012). Homesign: Gesture to language. In Pfau, R., Steinbach, M., & Woll, B. (Eds.) Sign Language: An International Handbook (pp. 601-625). Mouton de Gruyter.
- Knoors, H., & Marschark, M. (2015). Cognitive profiles of deaf children. In *Teaching Deaf Learners* (pp. 107-131). Oxford University Press.
- Marschark, M. (2010). Communication with deaf children. In *Raising and Educating a Deaf Child* (2nd ed., pp. 63-91). Oxford University Press.

Marschark, M., Tang, G., & Knoors, H. (Eds.). (2014). Bilingualism and Bilingual Education. Oxford University Press.

Mayer, C., & Leigh, G. (2010). The changing context for sign bilingual education programs: issues in language and the development of literacy. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 13(2), 175-186.

- Murray, J.J., Snoddon, K., De Meulder, M., & Underwood, K. (2018). Intersectional inclusion for deaf learners: moving beyond General Comment #4 on Article 24 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2018.1482013
- National Deaf Children's Society (2022) Deaf-friendly early education and childcare: for practitioners working with children in the early years. Retrieved from: https://www.ndcs.org.uk/?returnUri=%2fdocuments-and-resources%2fdeaf-friendly-early-education-and-childcare%2f#logIn-overlay
- Shield, A., Meier, R. P., & Tager-Flusberg, H. (2015). The use of sign language pronouns by native-signing children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 45(7), 2128-2145.
- Spencer, P. E., & Marschark, M. (2010). *Evidence-based Practice in Educating Deaf and Hard-of-Hearing Students*. Oxford University Press.
- Tang, G. (2015). Hong Kong Sign Language. In Wang, S-Y. & Sun, C.F. (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Chinese Linguistics* (pp. 710-728). Oxford University Press.
- Tang, G. & Yiu, C. K-M. (2016). Developing sign bilingualism in a co-enrollment school environment: A Hong Kong case study. In Marschark, M., & Spencer, P. (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Deaf Studies in Language* (pp.197-217). Oxford University Press.
- Woll, B., & Ladd, P. (2003). Deaf Communities. In Handbook of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education (Vol. 1, pp. 151-163). Oxford University Press.
- Yiu, K-M., & Tang, G. (2014). Social integration of deaf and hard-of-hearing students in a sign bilingual and coenrollment environment. In Marschark, M., Knoors, H., & Tang, G. (Eds.), *Bilingualism and Bilingual Deaf Education* (pp. 342-367). Oxford University Press.
- Yiu, C. K-M., Tang, G. & Ho, C. C-M. (2019) Essential Ingredients for Sign Bilingualism and Co-Enrollment Education in the Hong Kong Context. In M. Marschark, S. Antia, & H. Knoors (Eds.), *Co-Enrollment in Deaf Education*. (pp 83-106). Oxford University Press.

Feedback for evaluation

1. There will be a mid-term evaluation/follow-up to obtain students' feedback through a focus group discussion.

2. There is an end-of-term course evaluation.

Grade Descriptors

А	Outstanding
	➤ Outstanding performance on all learning outcomes;
	Competent in theorisation, generalisation, hypothesization, and reflection upon issues;
	> Skilled in creating, hypotheses and generating proposals to tackle issues with unanticipated extension.
A-	Excellent
	➤ Generally outstanding performance on all (or almost all) learning outcomes;
	> Skilled in comparing and contrasting arguments, explaining causes, analyzing and relating concepts to general
	theories;
	➤ Good at applying issues to relevant social contexts and predicting logically related outcomes.
В	Good
	➤ Substantial performance on all learning outcomes, or high performance on some learning outcomes which
	compensate for less satisfactory performance on others, resulting in overall substantial performance;
	➤ Able to enumerate, describe, list, and clarify concepts and topics;

	➤ Capable of examining a topic from multiple perspectives.
С	Fair
	➤ Satisfactory performance on a majority of learning outcomes, possibly with a few weaknesses;
	➤ Able to state, recognize, recall, and tell single points of topics of discussion.
D	Inadequate
	➤ Barely satisfactory performance on a number of learning outcomes;
	➤ Barely able to state, recognize, recall, and tell single points of topics of discussion.
F	Fail
	> Unsatisfactory performance on a number of learning outcomes, or failure to meet the specified assessment
	requirements;
	\succ Missing the points.

Course schedule

Week	Date	Торіс	Required readings (for tutorial presentation)
1	5-Sep	 Syllabus Auditory system, causes of hearing loss and hearing devices Experiential activity: audiological testing 	For reference only: National Deaf Children's Society (2022) Deaf- friendly early education and childcare: for practitioners working with children in the early years. Retrieved from: https://www.ndcs.org.uk/?returnUri=%2fdocuments- and-resources%2fdeaf-friendly-early-education-and- childcare%2f#logIn-overlay
2	12-Sep	 Sign Language in education: A historical perspective Experiential activity: Manually-Coded language Tutorial presentation 	Mayor, C. (2015) Rethinking Total Communication: Looking back, moving forward. In Marschark, M. & Spencer, P. (Eds.). <i>The Oxford Handbook of Deaf</i> <i>Studies in Language</i> (pp. 32-44). Oxford University Press.
3	19-Sep	 Language of communication in the classroom Experiential activity: Speech reading, and deaf literacy Tutorial presentation: Group 1 	Archbold, S., & Mayer, C. (2012). Deaf education: the impact of cochlear implantation? <i>Deafness and</i> <i>Education International.</i> 14(1), 2-15. https://doi.org/10.1179/1557069X12Y.000000003
4	26-Sep	 Changing contexts of sign bilingual education in the 21st century Guest sharing: Deaf student in education: Sign bilingualism approach vs. Oralist approach Tutorial presentation: Group 2 	Humphries, T., Kushalnagar, P., Mathur, G., Napoli, D.J., Rathmann, C., & Smith, S.R. (2012). Language acquisition for deaf children: reducing the harms of zero tolerance to the use of alternative approaches. <i>Harm Reduction Journal</i> , <i>9</i> (16). https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7517-9-16
5	3-Oct	 Sign Bilingual Education Experiential activity: Sign language as academic language Tutorial presentation: Group 3 	Plaza-Putz, C. (2012). Deaf education and bilingualism. In Pfau, R., Steinbach, M., & Woll, B. (Eds.) <i>Sign language: An International Handbook</i> (pp. 949-979). De Gruyter.
6	10-Oct	 Co-enrollment education: on latest development Guest sharing: Parent of deaf child Tutorial presentation: Group 4 	Tang, G.W.L., Yiu, C.K.M., Lee, K.Y.S., Li, J., Li, Q., Ho, C.C.M., & Lam. D.C.F. (2022). Two Languages are Better than One: Establishing Inclusive Education for the Deaf and Hard-of- Hearing Children in Hong Kong Using a Sign Bilingualism and Co-enrollment Approach. <i>BrainChild</i> , 21(1), 9-30.
7	17-Oct	 Co-enrollment education: on research finding Experiential activity: Speech-to-text Tutorial presentation: Group 5 	Capirci, O., Cattani, A., Rossini, P., & Volterra, V. (1998). Teaching sign language to hearing children as a possible factor in cognitive enhancement. <i>Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education</i> , 3(2), 135-142.

8	24-Oct	 Mid-Term Exam Term project consultation and follow-up 	
9	31-Oct	 Sign language and cognitive development Experiential activity: Cognition test Tutorial presentation: Group 6 	Hall, M. L., Eigsti, I-M., Bortfeld, H., & Lillo- Martin, D. (2017). Auditory deprivation does not impair executive function, but language deprivation might: Evidence from a parent-report measure in deaf native signing children. <i>Journal of Deaf Studies</i> <i>and Deaf Education</i> , 22, 9–21.
10	7-Nov	 Sign language and psychosocial development Guest sharing: CODA Tutorial presentation: Group 7 	Van Gent, T. (2016). Mental Health Problems of Deaf Children and Adolescents. In M. Marschark, V. Lampropoulou, & E.K. Skordilis (Eds.) <i>Diversity</i> <i>in Deaf Education</i> , (pp. 381-416). Oxford University Press.
11	14-Nov	 Beyond Deaf Education: Sign Language for Typically Developing Children and Infants Guest sharing: baby signing Tutorial presentation: Group 8 	Mueller, V.; Sepulveda, A.; Rodriguez, S. (2014). "The effects of baby sign training on development". <i>Early Child Development and Care, 184</i> (8), 1178- 1191.
12	21-Nov	 Beyond Deaf Education: Sign Language for children with Autstic Syndrome Disorders (ASD) or Intellectual Disabilities (ID) Guest sharing: Sign language for atypical students Tutorial presentation: Group 9 	Dunst, C., Meter, D., & Hamby, D.W. (2011). Influences of sign and oral language interventions on the speech and oral language production of young children with disabilities. <i>CELL reviews</i> , 4(4), 1-20.
13	28-Nov	Project Presentations	-

Teachers' or TA's contact details

Professor/Lecturer/Instructor:	
Name:	Mr. YIU Kun Man, Chris
Office Location:	Rm 203, Centre for Sign Linguistics and Deaf Studies Academic Building #2
Telephone:	3943-1134
Email:	chrisyiu_cslds@cuhk.edu.hk
Teaching Venue:	ERB706

Teaching Assistant/Tutor:	
Name:	Ms. TANG Hoi Man, Mandy
Office Location:	Rm 203, Centre for Sign Linguistics and Deaf Studies Academic Building #2
Telephone:	6463-4577 (whatsapp only)
Email:	mandy_cslds@cuhk.edu.hk
Teaching Venue:	ERB706

A facility for posting course announcements

Blackboard will be used to distribute the reading materials, course handouts, and other learning materials, as well as to support discussions among students.

Details of course website

The website of the Centre for Sign Linguistics and Deaf Studies (CSLDS), The Chinese University of Hong Kong will be introduced to the students for their reference. (http://www.cslds.org/v4/)

Academic honesty and plagiarism

Attention is drawn to University policy and regulations on honesty in academic work, and to the disciplinary guidelines and procedures applicable to breaches of such policy and regulations. Details may be found at http://www.cuhk.edu.hk/policy/academichonesty/.

With each assignment, students will be required to submit a signed declaration that they are aware of these policies, regulations, guidelines and procedures.

- In the case of group projects, all members of the group should be asked to sign the declaration, each of whom is responsible and liable to disciplinary actions, irrespective of whether he/she has signed the declaration and whether he/she has contributed, directly or indirectly, to the problematic contents.
- For assignments in the form of a computer-generated document that is principally text-based and submitted via VeriGuide, the statement, in the form of a receipt, will be issued by the system upon students' uploading of the soft copy of the assignment.

Assignments without the properly signed declaration will not be graded by teachers.

Only the final version of the assignment should be submitted via VeriGuide.

The submission of a piece of work, or a part of a piece of work, for more than one purpose (e.g. to satisfy the requirements in two different courses) without declaration to this effect shall be regarded as having committed undeclared multiple submissions. It is common and acceptable to reuse a turn of phrase or a sentence or two from one's own work; but wholesale reuse is problematic. In any case, agreement from the course teacher(s) concerned should be obtained prior to the submission of the piece of work.

The copyright of the teaching materials, including lecture notes, assignments and examination questions, etc., produced by staff members/ teachers of The Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK) belongs to CUHK. Students may download the teaching materials produced by the staff members/ teachers from the Learning Management Systems, e.g. Blackboard, adopted by CUHK for their own educational use, but shall not distribute/ share/ copy the materials to a third-party without seeking prior permission from the staff members/ teachers concerned.

---- End ----