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Abstract:

An interesting case of optionality in L2 grammars is presented by semantic properties where the linguistic form is the same but the meanings it expresses are subtly different. A case in point are the meanings of the English definite article. Based on semantic analysis and cross-linguistic evidence, the notion of definiteness is comprised of two independent meanings: familiarity (the referent is familiar to the speakers) and uniqueness (the referent is unique in the situation) (Birner & Ward, 1964; Schwar, 2009, 2013). However, a closer look shows that anaphoricity, pointing to a previously mentioned antecedent, also constitutes part of the notion of definiteness. This subtle meaning configuration, together with the expressions of those meanings in the L1, create a challenge for the acquisition of English the in all its uses.

Anaphoric familiarity is directed to different antecedents: with that, the target NP is anaphoric to the immediately salient antecedent; with the, the target NP is anaphoric to the most salient antecedent. This means that both that and the are felicitous in contexts in which the antecedent for the target NP happens to be both most salient and immediately salient, as in (1). In contrast, only that is felicitous in contexts with two equally likely antecedents (2). In the partitive indefinite (3), the target NP is anaphoric to a set through being its non-unique part.

(1) Ken went to a small art gallery yesterday, where he saw nine paintings. They were very unusual, and he looked at one of the paintings for a long time. Finally, he bought the that painting for his wife.
(2) Simon enjoys cycling, and last year he bought two bicycles. One of the bicycles was heavy and difficult to ride. But the other bicycle was very light and easy to ride.
He rode the that bicycle to work every day.
(3) Betty decided to get a kitten, so she went to a pet shop. The pet shop had five kittens, and she played with them for a while.
Then she chose the a kitten.

We tested L1 Mandarin Chinese and L1 Russian speakers’ interpretation of the in L2 English, using an Acceptability Judgment Task with sentences as the ones above. The L2 learners across all proficiency groups were target-like in accepting that in (1) and (2). As predicted, the L2 learners were target-like in accepting the in (1), but they incorrectly allowed the in (2) and (3). The L2 learners across all proficiency groups accepted the in (2) but not in (3), as expected. Unexpectedly, however, the English natives allowed the 47% of the time in (2), where it should be infelicitous. We speculate that the English speakers considered the last mentioned antecedent in (2) to be salient enough to allow the use of the. Overall, our results suggest that L2 English learners from article-less L1s are affected by anaphoricity in their interpretation of the. Moreover, the effect of anaphoricity seems to be stronger for L1 Russian speakers than for L1 Chinese speakers, and more persistent in intermediate learners.
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